App. F. IX-4

*Previous References*

1930.
(Original)

File No. 84-30
Serial Nos. 1-2

*Later References*

# General and Revenue Secretariat.

---

*Serial Nos.* 2

**Part B for** September 1930

---

SUBJECT.

Appointment of Mr. H. S. Ranganadhan as Archivist of the Madras Records on Rs. 65/-

---

G.O. No. 632 Revenue
17.9.30

To the Accountant General
Revenue
Commissioner

Sanctioned

J.H.

General and Revenue Secretariat | Mug. File No. | of 192

Serial No.

2384/2918

in effecting retrenchments.

MSK
20/8/30. H. Lacy)

20.8.30

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL 5 SEPT. 1930 No. 2382

3 The M.C.s proposal may be approved I do not think that the management of the Mc Robie Coy is satisfactory A good peshkar is quite necessary. The small increase in cost will not be grudged.

1st member)

4/9/30

3028/10.9

4 Agree

9/9

Subd with a draft G.O.

MSK 13/9. H. Lacy)

12.9

3225/13.9

G.O.

2 The Mug Comr, Rec Comr & Comptroller no 632-34 of 17-9-30

Sanctions the recommendations in ¶ 1 with effect from the 1st April

24-9-30

Note—Contn Sheet

W D 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

M. C. 13]

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

*Bangalore,* 15th August *193*0.

14.

No. 1816 Qui 29-30

FINANCIAL SECRETARY 15 AUG No.

FROM

*Rajakaryapravina*
P. G. D'SOUZA, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
*Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.*

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT 14 AUG 1930 240 MUZRAI.

To

Rajasevaparayana
B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

Muz 84-3-1

SIR,

In forwarding herewith copy of letter No.518 dated 26th July 1930 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, recommending the reversion of Mr. Gopala Rao, the present Peshkar of the Melkote temple to his former place as Head Gumasta of Seringapatam, and the appointment of Mr. M.S. Manjundiah, Sheristedar, Nagamangala Taluk, as Peshkar on the maximum pay of Rs.65/- in the grade of 55-2-65, I have the honor to state that during my successive inspections, I found the present Peshkar to be old and lacking in physical energy, and incapable of exercising any grip over the administration of the temple affairs. So I mentioned in notes of inspection that he might be replaced by a more energetic and competent official. In pursuance of these instructions, the Deputy Commissioner has now proposed the appointment of Mr. Manjundiah to the place on the maximum salary of Rs.65/- in the grade. Mr. Manjundiah is reported to be a capable official already drawing a sum of Rs.75/-. He would probably prefer to be left alone in his former place if he is not given at least the small promotion of Rs.10/- to take up on the onerous duties of the Peshkar of the Melkote temple. As remarked by the Deputy Commissioner, the Peshkar's work in Melkote temple is not an easy one in view of the contentious spirit of the [illegible] hereditary trustees of devotees.

In these circumstances, I agree with the Deputy Commissioner

that he should be given the maximum pay of the appointment during his tenure of office as Peshkar, being seconded as Taluk Sheristedar. I have approved of his being posted as Peshkar, and request that sanction of Government may kindly be accorded to give him the ----- maximum salary of the post. A strong and reliable man is necessary. Even if he is paid a little more, the control he will be able to --- exercise will repay the extra amount.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K. Narasimha Chetty

For Inspector Commissioner.

Absent from Headquarters

Letter No. .516/30 dated 26th July 1930 from the Deputy - Commissioner, Mysore District to the Huzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

---

Subject:- Peshkar - Melkote temple.

---

With reference to your D.O.letter No.1216/29-30 dated 10/11th July 1930 inviting attention to your notes of inspection of the Melkote temple in the matter of replacing Mr. P.Govinda Rao, the present Peshkar, by a more energetic man, I have the honor to state that during the course of my inspection of the accounts of the temple held on 25th June 1930 a series of irregularities were brought to notice. The Peshkar has not sent up accounts to the Amildar for - moneys drawn from the Treasury, since December 1929. He has been advancing amounts on his own authority out of the temple cash and has not adjusted the heavy advances outstanding. He does not take any action on the instructions given in the previous notes of --- Inspections and on the Audit Notes of the Huzrai Auditor. I heard complaints against him regarding the distribution of Sthalavase and Bhatamanya allowances.

2. The work of the Melkote temple requires an energetic man with capacity to control impulsive factious parties. The present Peshkar is not fit for the post. He has not submitted satisfactory explanation as to why he failed to submit the monthly accounts --- promptly. He may be reverted to his permanent appointment as Head Cumasta of Seringapatam Taluk and granted such leave as he is entitled to.

3. I propose that Mr. M.S. Nanjandiah, Sheristedar of the --- Nagamangala Taluk, who is drawing Rs.55/- and who had previously -- served as Peshkar during 1927, may be appointed in place of Govinda Rao on probation but he may be kindly permitted to draw the maximum pay of Rs.65/- (Rs.55-2-65). The Peshkarship of Melkote is not a bed of roses and this proposal is made to make the appointment attractive to the proposed incumbent.

4. On receipt of your kind approval to the above proposal, I shall effect necessary transfers.

"C o p y"

For Huzrai Commissioner.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS

---

Read:--

Letter No. 1816 of 1929-30 dated 14th Aug. 1930

from the Muzrai Commissioner ~~stating or forwarding~~

requesting sanction to the appointment of Mr. H.S. Nanjundiah, Taluk Sheristedar in the grade 55-2-65 as Peshkar of Melkote temple and permission to allow him to draw Rs. 65/- per mensem (the maximum salary of the grade) during his tenure of office as Peshkar in place of Mr. P. Gopala Rao reverted as Head Gumasta, Seringapatam Taluk

ORDER NO. 632-34/Muz.84-30-2 DATED, BANGALORE 17-9- 1930.

Sanctioned with effect from the date of appointment.
~~Recorded~~

sd/- B Srinivasaraya
*Secretary to Government,*
*Financial Department.*

RS

To--

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.
The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

C.R.G.

G.R.S. App. F VI G-2]

SUBJECT..... .....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to* .. . .... . ..... . ..

Sec MM

File No.

Serial No.

General and Revenue Secretariat

)

*the* *192* .

*Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

Date of issue..... 17-9-30 .....

Signed by.....Asst. V. S. Sastry.....

*Read* –

Letter No. 1816/29 30 dated 14-8-30 from the Amildar Chamarajanagar requesting sanction to the appointment of Mr HS Nanjundiah Taluk Shirastedar in the grade 55-2-65 as Peshkar of and permission to retain the Melkote temple at Rs 65/- per mensem (the maximum salary of the grade) during his tenure of office as Peshkar in the place of Mr B Gopala Rao reverted as Head Gumasta, Seringapatam Taluk.

Order Thereon No. 622 [illegible]

Sanctioned with effect from the date of appointment.

13.9.30

13/9/30.

The Sec.

May this be

i Printed?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

*List of enclosure.*

*Copied by*

*Examined by*

WD 079—GPB—8000—10 10 29